

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/599,512		HOLLMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	GAILENE R. GABEL		1641	

All Participants:

(1) GAILENE R. GABEL.

(2) Steven Highlander.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record.

Claims discussed:
of record.

Prior art documents discussed:
of record.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Indicated to Mr. Highlander that the amendment made to render claim 1 allowable has not been reflected or clearly defined in claims 4 and 13 so as to render the claims allowable. Also, indicated to Mr. Highlander that claims 2, 13, 15, and 16 do not recite that the fetal cells are of erythroid origin, i.e. fetal red blood cells and nucleated precursors thereof, and that the antibody in claim 13 is a monoclonal antibody. Mr. Highlander indicated that he will submit a Supplemental Amendment addressing the issues, and making the necessary amendments consonant to Examiner's proposal.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)